

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,933	KARNEZOS, MARCOS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alonzo Chambliss	2814	

All Participants:

(1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(2) Bill Kennedy.

Status of Application: Non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2005

Time: 9PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102b

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 15, 29, 36, and 39

Prior art documents discussed:

US 4,982,265 and US 6,392,896

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Alonzo Chambliss

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to suggest language to place the case in condition for allowance over the above US references. The attorney reviewed the US references and believe the references did not read on the claims of the instant application. Thus, the attorney wanted a non-final rejection mailed. Therefore, a non-final rejection is forthcoming..